

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/825,986	04/16/2004	Michael T. McTigue	10020183-2	8725	
7590 06 <i>r</i> 27/2005			EXAMINER		
AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.			TERESINSKI, JOHN		
Intellectual Prop	erty Administration				
Legal Departme	nt, DL429	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
P. O. Box 7599			2858		
Loveland, CO	80537-0599	DATE MAILED: 06/27/2005			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					De				
		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)	W .				
Office Action Summary		10/825,9		MCTIGUE, MICHAEL T.					
		Examine	r	Art Unit	•				
		John Tere		2858					
The MAILI	NG DATE of this communi	cation appears on th	e cover sneet with the	correspondence ad	aress				
THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time ma after SIX (6) MONTHS - If the period for reply if NO period for reply within Any reply received by	STATUTORY PERIOD FO ATE OF THIS COMMUNIO by be available under the provisions of from the mailing date of this commu- specified above is less than thirty (30 is specified above, the maximum state the set or extended period for reply we the Office later than three months af- ijustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evunication. of days, a reply within the state of the control of the contro	rent, however, may a reply be ti tutory minimum of thirty (30) da rill expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron plication to become ABANDONI	imely filed ys will be considered timely in the mailing date of this co	y. ommunication.				
Status									
1) Responsive	e to communication(s) file	d on <u>11 April 2005</u> .							
2a) This action	This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.								
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claim	·		,						
4a) Of the a 5) ☐ Claim(s) 6) ☒ Claim(s) 2 7) ☒ Claim(s) 3	Claim(s) 2-9 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 5 and 6 is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 2 and 7-9 is/are rejected. Claim(s) 3 and 4 is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Application Papers									
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.									
<i>,</i> —	☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
, ,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
•	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.	S.C. § 119				·				
a) All b) 1. Certi 2. Certi 3. Copi	gment is made of a claim fall some * c) None of: fied copies of the priority of the priority of the priority of the copies of the copies of the cation from the Internation ched detailed Office action	documents have bee documents have bee of the priority docum nal Bureau (PCT Ru	en received. en received in Applica ents have been receiv le 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National	Stage				
Attachment(s)			•						
1) Notice of Reference			4) Interview Summar						
	on's Patent Drawing Review (P ure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or ate		Paper No(s)/Mail [5] Notice of Informal Other:		O-152)				
S. Patent and Trademark Office									

Art Unit: 2858

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the a probe tip must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Application/Control Number: 10/825,986 Page 3

Art Unit: 2858

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the

basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on

sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 2 and 7-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent

No. 6,462,528 to Markozen.

Regarding claims 2, 7 and 8, Markozen disclose a probe for connecting a device under

test having a probe tip connecting to a source and a ground of the device under test (column 3

line 16-20, Fig. 5), a cable including a first electrical connection carrying a signal representative

of the source from the probe tip to the measurement device and a second electrical connection

connecting the ground of the device under test to the ground of the measurement device (column

3 lines 16-37 Fig. 5) and active circuitry identifying a voltage drop between the ground of the

device under test and the ground of the measurement device and correcting the signal for the

voltage drop prior to reaching the measurement device (column 4 lines 43-51).

Regarding claim 9, Markozen discloses a logic analyzer/processing circuit (column 5

lines 37-43).

Allowable Subject Matter

Art Unit: 2858

Claims 3 and 4 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim

and any intervening claims.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

Regarding claim 3:

The primary reason for the allowance of claim 3 is the inclusion of a compensation signal proportional to the voltage drop between the ground of the device under test and the ground of the measurement device and a summing circuit that subtracts the compensation signal from a signal proportional to the signal from the source. It is these features found in the claim, as they are claimed in the combination that has not been found, taught or suggested by the prior art of record, which makes this claim allowable over the prior art.

Claim 4 is allowable due to dependency on claim 3.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 2-9 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 10/825,986

Art Unit: 2858

Conclusion

Page 5

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John Teresinski whose telephone number is (571) 272-2235. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eddie Lefkowitz can be reached on (571) 272-2180. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JT June 25, 2005

> ANJAN DEB PRIMARY EXAMINER

frigula De